

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

J.P., a minor, by and through
CHANNY SOK-HANG as guardian,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT, STANLEY
MCFADDEN, JORGE ANDRADE, and
DOES 1-10

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-00788 WBS AC

ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' MOTION
TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER
AND CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

-----oo0oo-----

Defendants have moved to modify the scheduling order
and continue the trial which is currently set for June 4, 2024.¹
Defendants seek to continue trial because it conflicts with the
trial in another unrelated case, Sutherland v. City of Stockton,
et al., No. 2:21-cv-01855 WBS AC, involving many of the same

¹ The court took this motion under submission on the
papers, without oral argument, pursuant to Local Rule 230(g).

1 defendants set for the same date also before this court. (Mot.
2 at 3 (Docket No. 56).) Given that the trial date is not for
3 approximately one and a half years, defendants' motion is
4 premature. The court will consider any renewed motion at a time
5 closer to trial.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion to
7 modify the scheduling order and continue trial (Docket No. 56)
8 be, and hereby is, DENIED without prejudice.

9 Dated: December 5, 2022



10 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28